KENSINGTON POLICE PROTECTION AND COMMUNITY SERVICES DISTRICT

Basic Financial Statements and Independent Auditor's Report for the Year ended June 30, 2011

> LAMORENA & CHANG GERTIFIED PUBLIC ACCOUNTANT

1

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Independent Auditor's Report 1-2
Management's Discussion and Analysis (Required Supplementary Information)
Basic Financial Statements
Statement of Net Assets and Governmental Funds Balance Sheet7
Statement of Activities and Governmental Funds Statement of Revenues and Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance
Statement of Fiduciary Net Assets
Statement of Changes in Net AssetsFiduciary Funds 11
Statement of Revenue, Expenditure and Change in Fund Balances—Actual and Budget
Notes to the Basic Financial Statements
Independent Auditor's Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial Statements Performed in Accordance with <i>Government Auditing Standards</i>
Status of Prior Year Findings
Current Year Findings

lamorena & Chang CERTIFIED PUBLIC ACCOUNTANT

> 22 BATTERY STREET, SUITE 412 TELEPHONE: 415.781.8441 San Francisco, California 94111

FACSIMILE: 415.781.8442

Independent Auditor's Report

Board of Directors Kensington Police Protection and Community Services District Kensington, California

I have audited the accompanying basic financial statements of the governmental activities and each major fund of the Kensington Police Protection and Community Services District (District), as of and for the year ended June 30, 2011, which collectively comprise the District's basic financial statements as listed in the table of contents. These financial statements are the responsibility of the District's management. My responsibility is to express opinions on these financial statements based on my audit.

I conducted my audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in Government Auditing Standards, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. Those standards require that I plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. I believe that my audit provides a reasonable basis for my opinions.

In my opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the respective financial position of the governmental activities and each major fund of the District as of June 30, 2011, and the changes in its financial position thereof and the respective budgetary comparison for the general and special revenue funds for the year then ended in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America,

As discussed in Note 2 to the financial statements, the District adopted the provisions of Governmental Accounting Standards Board (GASB) Statement No. 34, Basic Financial Statements - and Management's Discussion and Analysis - for State and Local Governments; GASB Statement No. 37, Basic Financial Statements - and Management's Discussion and Analysis — for State and Local Governments: Omnibus; GASB Statement No. 38, Certain Financial Statement Note Disclosures; and GASB Interpretation No. 6, Recognition and Measurement of Certain Liabilities and Expenditures in Governmental Fund Financial Statements and GASB No. 45, Accounting and Financial Reporting by Employers for Postemployment Benefits Other Than Pensions.

In accordance with Government Auditing Standards, I have also issued my report dated March 15, 2013, on my consideration of the District's internal control over financial reporting and on my tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements and other matters. The purpose of that report is to describe the scope of my testing of internal control over financial reporting and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the internal control over financial reporting or on compliance, That report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with Government Auditing Standards and should be considered in assessing the results of my audit.

Independent Auditor's Report (continued)

The management's discussion and analysis and budgetary comparison information, as listed in the table of contents, are not a required part of the basic financial statements but are supplementary information required by accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. I have applied certain limited procedures, which consisted principally of inquiries of management regarding the methods of measurement and presentation of the required supplementary information. However, I did not audit the information and express no opinion on it.

March 15, 2013

This discussion and analysis of the Kensington Police Protection and Community Service District's fiscal performance provides an overview of the District's financial activities for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2011. Please review it in conjunction with the transmittal letter and the basic financial statements, which begin on page 7.

FINANCIAL HIGHLIGHTS

From the Statement of Net Assets

- Total net assets for the years ended June 30, 2011 and 2010 are \$5,625,248 and \$5,566,231 respectively. This is a 0.90% increase.
- Unrestricted net assets, the part of net assets that can be used to finance day-to-day operations for fiscal years ended June 30, 2011 and 2010 are \$1,715,584 and \$1,629,176 respectively.

From the Governmental Fund Financial Statements

- Our General Fund balance increased by \$85,920.
- Property tax revenues (including special tax revenue for police services) for fiscal years ended June 30, 2011 and 2010 were \$1,934,900 and \$1,908,062, respectively. This is a 1.41% increase.

OVERVIEW OF FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

The District's basic financial statements are comprised of three components: government-wide financial statements, governmental funds financial statements, and notes to the financial statements. Required supplementary information in addition to the basic financial statements is also presented.

Government-wide financial statements

The government-wide financial statements are designed to provide readers with a broad overview of the District's finances in a manner similar to a private-sector business. There are two government-wide financial statements—the Statement of Net Assets and the Statement of Activities and Changes in Net Assets.

The Statement of Net Assets presents information on all of the District's assets and liabilities, with the difference between the two reported as net assets. Over time, increases and decreases in net assets, may serve as a useful indicator of whether the financial position of the District is improving or deteriorating.

The Statement of Activities presents information showing how the District's net assets changed during the fiscal year. Accrual of revenue and expenses are taken into account regardless of when cash is received or paid.

OVERVIEW OF FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

Government-wide financial statements (continued)

As in a private-sector business, capital assets are depreciated, debt service is not a source of revenue, and compensated absences are expensed in the period earned.

Governmental funds financial statements

A fund is a grouping of related accounts that is used to maintain control over resources that have been segregated for specific activities. The major differences between fund financial statements and government-wide financial statements are in the way debt proceeds, capital outlay, and compensated absences are recorded. Reconciliations between the two types of financial statements are found on page 7 using the adjustment column.

Notes to the financial statements

The notes provide additional information that is essential to a full understanding of the data provided in the financial statements.

Supplementary information

In addition to the basic financial statements and accompanying notes, this report also presents budgetary comparison schedules and a PERS schedule of funding progress.

GOVERNMENT-WIDE FINANCIAL ANALYSIS

Net assets serve over time as a useful indicator of the District's financial position. In the case of the District, assets exceeded liabilities by \$5,625,248 as of June 30, 2011 and \$5,566,231 as of June 30, 2010. This number is comprised of two components:

	June 30, 2011	June 30, 2010
Investment in capital assets, net of debt	\$ 3,909,664	\$ 3,937,055
Unrestricted assets	1,715,584	1,629,176
Total net assets	\$ 5,625,248	\$ 5,566,231

Investment in capital assets consists of fixed assets less any related debt that is still outstanding.

Unrestricted assets are used to finance day-to-day operations, including debt service.

GOVERNMENTAL FUNDS ANALYSIS

The District's largest source of revenue is derived from property tax allocations. In 1978 the voters passed Proposition 13 and removed the ability of local agencies to set their own property taxes. The 1% maximum property tax rate is fixed by Article XIII A, § 1(a) of the California Constitution. The Legislature adopted statutes ("AB 8") that tell county auditor-controllers how to allocate the resulting revenues. This is unrestricted revenue and can be used for all District business.

The District's collection of Special Tax Revenue, another large source of revenue, is restricted to police activities. The current rate as of June 30, 2011 was established in 1997 after receiving voter approval in 1994.

The District also collects a special assessment called the Kensington Park Landscape and Lighting District Assessment. The funds are restricted for New Park maintenance only.

In fiscal year ended June 30, 2011, the District was the beneficiary of the COPS Grant minimum allocation of \$100,000. The funds must be used for front line equipment/services and all expenditures have been approved by the District Board of Directors. In FY 2010/2011, his grant money was used to fund the tenth officer position.

The largest expenditure of the District is salary and benefits, including PERS contributions. These are governed by current Memorandum of Understanding agreements negotiated between the District and represented and non-represented employees.

The other area of expenditures of significance is for services and supplies. That would include items such as communications and vehicle operations. This is the support structure for the police department.

Other reserved funds:

Reserved for compensated absences payable—\$88,360

COMMENTS ON BUDGET COMPARISONS

Actual revenues and actual expenditures versus budget amounts equals a positive variance of \$183,830.

Actual revenues exceeded budgeted revenues by \$95,204. The largest difference is KPPCSD received \$41,000 in Supplemental Worker's Comp reimbursement.

Actual expenditures were less than final budgeted expenditures by \$88,626. Although the budget included \$150,000 for a new park restroom, only \$12,000 was spent in FY 2010/2011. District expenses were over budget \$95,121, primarily made of an additional \$30,000 in accounting fees and another \$38,000 in waste management legal fees.

Police Other Expenses was under budget by \$35,000. This is almost completely due to communications expense. The interoperatibility radio system had not yet come online.

HISTORY AND ECONOMIC FACTORS

The Kensington Police Protection and Community Services District was formed as a Police Protection District in 1946 for the purpose of providing police services to the community of Kensington. In 1953, the District was changed to a Community Services District, per Government Code 61600, which enabled the District to provide park and recreation services, oversight to the solid waste contract, and the provision of police protection services.

In 1978, Proposition 13 was passed by California voters resulting in the implementation of AB 8 which set the formula used in property tax allocation for local government. The formula was based on prior years' budgets and the Kensington Police Protection and Community Services District had a history of very lean budgets.

In 1980, the Board of Directors for the District approved Resolutions 80-01 and 80-02, setting into motion a proposal for a special tax and a special election for the special tax. The original amount of the tax was \$45 per year for single family residential, \$90 per year for multiple unit residential, \$135 per year for commercial and institutional property and \$45 per year for miscellaneous improved property. These funds are restricted to be used for police related services only.

In 1984, the Board of Directors for the District approved Resolution 84-01 requesting the electorate approve an increase in the special tax to \$90 per year for single family residential, \$135 per year for multiple unit residential, \$180 per year for commercial and institutional property and \$90 per year for miscellaneous improved property. These funds are restricted to be used for police related services only.

In 1993, the Board of Directors for the District approved Resolution 93-04 requesting the electorate approve an increase in the special tax to \$210 per year for single family residential, \$315 per year for multiple units residential, \$315 per year for commercial and institutional, \$210 per year for miscellaneous improved property and \$63 per year for unimproved property. These funds are restricted to be used for police related services only.

In 1994, the Board of Directors for the District approved Resolution 94-13 requesting the electorate approve an increase in the special tax to \$300 per year for single family residential, \$450 per year for multiple units residential, \$450 per year for commercial and institutional, \$300 per year for miscellaneous improved property and \$90 per year for unimproved property. An increase of tax was voted and passed. These funds are restricted to be used for police related services only.

In 1997, the Board of Directors for the District approved Ordinance 97-01 setting the rates at the maximum allowed based on Resolution 94-13.

In 2010, the District passed a ballot measure (Measure G) to add a supplemental tax revenue stream to be used effectively July 01, 2010. These funds are a maximum of \$200 per parcel and are restricted to be used for police related services only.

REQUEST FOR INFORMATION

This financial report is designed to provide a general overview of the District's finances for all those with an interest in the government's finances. Questions concerning any of the information provided in this report or requests for additional financial information should be addressed to General Manager/Chief of Police Greg Harman.

KENSINGTON POLICE PROTECTION AND COMMUNITY SERVICES DISTRICT STATEMENT OF NET ASSETS AND GOVERNMENTAL FUNDS BALANCE SHEET FOR THE YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2011

	General Fund	Capital Project	Total	Adjustment Note 9	Statement of Net Assets
Assets					
Cash and investments	\$ 1,582,473	\$ 126,540	\$ 1,709,013	\$-	\$ 1,709,013
Receivables					
Supplemental taxes					
and Community Services District	11,033		11,033	-	11,033
Interest receivable	1,727	119	1,846	-	1,846
Grant receivable	11,316		11,316	-	11,316
Reimbursements and other	18,105		18,105	-	18,105
Net OPEB assets	136,208	-	136,208	-	136,208
Prepaid	20,719	-	20,719	-	20,719
Capital assets					
Land	-	-	-	2,808,347	2,808,347
Vehicle and equipment	-	-	-	489,383	489,383
Building and improvement	-	-	-	1,492,717	1,492,717
Furniture & fixtures	-	-	-	29,914	29,914
Accumulated depreciation				(910,697)	(910,697)
Total assets	\$ 1,781,581	\$ 126,659	\$ 1,908,240	\$ 3,909,664	<u>\$ 5,817,904</u>
Liabilities					
Accounts payable	\$ 89,291	\$-	\$ 89,291	\$ -	\$ 89,291
Compensated absence	88,360	· -	88,360	-	88,360
Accrued payable	15,005		15,005		15,005
Total liabilities	192,656	-	192,656		192,656
Fund balances/net assets Fund balances					
Reserved for					
Compensated absence	88,360		88,360	(88,360)	
Unreserved and	66,500		66,300	(00,300)	-
Undesignated	1,500,565	126,659	1,627,224	1,618,085	
Total fund balances	1,588,925	126,659	1,715,584	1,529,725	-
Total liabilities and fund balances	\$ 1,781,581	\$ 126,659	\$ 1,908,240		
	<u> </u>	# 120,009	p 1,708,240		
Net assets Capital assets				3,909,664	3,909,664
Unrestricted				2,909,004	1,715,584
Omesticieu				•	1,713,384
Total net assets				\$ 3,909,664	\$ 5,625,248

KENSINGTON POLICE PROTECTION AND COMMUNITY SERVICES DISTRICT STATEMENT OF ACTIVITIES AND GOVERNMENTAL FUNDS STATEMENT OF REVENUES AND EXPENDITURES AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCE FOR THE YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2011

	General	Capital Project	Total	Adjustment Note 10	Statement of Activitie
ENDITURES/EXPENSES					
urrent expenditures/expenses Police Salary and Benefits					
Salary-Officers	\$ 908,915	s -	\$ 908,915	s -	\$ 908,9
Compensated Absence	35,771		35,771		35,7
Overtime	47,979		47,979	_	47,9
Salary-Non sworn	36,119		36,119	-	36,1
Uniform Allowance	8,041		8,041	-	8,0
Safety Equipment	721		721	-	-,-
Medical Insurance-Active	141,249		141,249	-	141,2
Medical Insurance-Retired	244,706		244,706	-	244,3
Disability & Life insurance	8,875		8,875		8,1
Social Security/Medicare	15,627		15,627	-	15,0
PERS District	256,021		256,021		256,0
PERS Officers	82,516		82,516	-	82,
Workers Compensation	31,873		31,873	-	31,
Advanced Industrial Disability	1,230	-	1,230	-	1,1
Police Expenses	1,250	-	1,250		•,•
Expendable Police Supplies	361		361	-	
Range/Ammunition Supplies	3,395		3,395	-	3,3
	•	-		-	
Crossing Guard	9,527	-	9,527		9,1
Vehicle Operation	50,333	-	50,333	-	50,
Communications (RPD)	101,892	-	101,892	-	101,
Prisioner/Case Exp/Booking	7,729	-	7,729	•	7,
Training	13,764	-	13,764	-	13,
Recruiting	8,906	•	8,906	-	8,
Reserve Officers	3,265	-	3,265	-	3,
Misc, Dues, Meals and Travel	2,542	-	2,542	•	2,
Utilities	8,705	-	8,705	-	8,
Building Repair	609	•	609	-	
Office Supplies	5,671	-	5,671	•	5,
Telephone	9,041	-	9,041	-	9,1
Housekeeping	4,270	-	4,270	-	4,:
Publications	2,357	-	2,357		2,3
West-Net/Cal	12,656	-	12,656	-	12,
Community Policing	1,318	-	1,318	-	L,
Measure G Administration	1,554	-	1,554		l,
Recreation Salary and Benefits	-, ,		.,		
Park and Recreation Administration	5,018		5,018		5,0
Custodian	21,000		21,000		21,0
Casual Labor	2,050	_	2,050		2,0
Social Security/Medicare	265	-	265	_	2,
Recreation Expenses			100		
Community Center Utilities	4,746		4,746		4,1
Janitorial Supplies	52	-	52		ч,
Community Center Repairs	9,931	-	9,931	•	0.
				-	9,1
Annex Utilities	1,252		1,252	-	1,1
Park O&M	45,998	-	45,998	-	45,9
Misc. Park/Rec Expense	3,513	-	3,513	-	3,:
District Expenses					
Computer Maintenance	33,412	-	33,412	-	33,4
Legal	47,581	-	47,581	-	47,
Consulting	6,880	-	6,880	•	6,
Accounting	56,385	-	56,385	-	56,3
Equipment Rental	5,876		5,876	-	5,3
Insurance	28,956	-	28,956	-	28,
Election	33,989		33,989	-	33,
Police Building Lease	28,840	-	28,840		28,
County Expense	22,256		22,256		22,3
Waste/Recycle	40,901	-	40,901	-	40,
Miscellaneous	7,729		7,729	_	7,3
Depreciation	1,123	-	1,127	75,775	75,7
Depreciation		·	.		
Total current expenditures/expenses	2,474,168		2,474,168	75,775	2,549,9
Capital outlay					
Equipment and furniture	35,401		35,401	(35,401)	
		-			
Buildings and improvements	12,983	<u> </u>	12,983	(12,983)	
Total capital outlay	48,384		48,384	(48,384)	·····
Bad Debt			<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>
Total expenditures/expenses	n (nn (Ch		2,522,552	27,391	2,549,9

KENSINGTON POLICE PROTECTION AND COMMUNITY SERVICES DISTRICT STATEMENT OF ACTIVITIES AND GOVERNMENTAL FUNDS STATEMENT OF REVENUES AND EXPENDITURES AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCE FOR THE YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2011 (continued)

	General	Capital Project	Total	Adjustment Note 10	Statement of Activities
GENERAL REVENUES:					
Property Taxes	1,268,455	-	1,268,455	-	1,268,455
Special Assessments	679,980	-	679,980	-	679,980
Measure G Supplemental Tax Revenue	405,721	-	405,721		405,721
Grants	100,000		100,000		100,000
Charges for Services	1,430	-	1,430	-	1,430
POST Reimbursement	4,213	-	4,213	-	4,213
Rents and Fees	20 723		20,723	-	20,723
Investment Income	5,575	488	6,063	-	6,063
Franchise Fees	20,011	-	20,011	-	20,011
Other Tax Income	31,118		31,118	-	31,118
Supplemental Workers' Comp Reimb.	41,441	-	41,441		41,441
Other Income	21,739	-	21,739	-	21,739
Private Contributions	· -				•
Gain (loss) on asset sale	10,248		10,248		10,248
Total Revenues	2,610,654	488	2,611,142	<u> </u>	2,611,142
Excess (deficiency) of Revenues Over					
Other (under) Expenditures	88,102	488	88,590	(27,391)	61,199
Other Financing Sources (Uses)					
Transfers In	(9,441)	-	(9,441)		(9,441)
Transfers Out	7,259		7,259		7,259
Total Other Financing Sources (uses)	(2,182)	<u> </u>	(2,182)	<u> </u>	(2,182)
Change in Net Assets	85,920	488	86,408	(27,391)	59,017
Fund Balances/Net Assets, beginning of year	1,503,005	126,171	1,629,176	3,937,055	5,566,231
Fund balances/net assets, end of year	\$ 1,588,925	5 126,659	\$ 1,715,584	\$ 3,909,664	\$ 5,625,248

.

KENSINGTON POLICE PROTECTION AND COMMUNITY SERVICES DISTRICT STATEMENT OF FIDUCIARY NET ASSETS JUNE 30, 2011

	Prep	ergency aredness Fund	Re	Kensington Park eassessment strict 2004-1 Fund		Total
Assets						
Cash and investments	\$	678	\$	265,276	\$	265,954
Interest receivable		-		111		111
Special assessments receivable		_	<u>-</u>	1,195,061		1,195,061
Total assets	\$	678	\$	1,460,448	\$	1,461,126
Liabilities						
Accounts payable	\$	339	\$	1	\$	340
2004 Limited Obligation Improvement Bonds		-		1,311,570		1,311,570
Bond interest payable				18,581		18,581
Total liabilities	\$	339	\$	1,330,152		1,330,491
Net Assets						
Reserved for Emergency Preparedness Council	\$	339	\$	-	\$	339
Reserved for Reassessment District 2004-1				130,296	. <u></u>	130,296
Total net assets	\$	339	\$	130,296		130,635

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the financial statements.

KENSINGTON POLICE PROTECTION AND COMMUNITY SERVICES DISTRICT STATEMENT OF CHANGES IN NET ASSETS-FIDUCIARY FUNDS FOR THE YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2011

	Emergency Preparedness	Kensington Park Reassessment District 2004-1	
	Fund	Fund	Total
ADDITIONS:			
Special assessments	\$-	\$ 177,901	\$ 177,901
Interest income	<u> </u>	456	456
Total additions		178,357	178,357
DEDUCTIONS:			
Bond administration charges	-	11,201	11,201
Bond expenses	-	56,477	56,477
Bond principal	-	105,422	105,422
Contract services	112_	-	112
Total deductions	112	173,100	173,212
Net increase (decrease) in fiduciary net assets	(112)	5,257	5,145
Transfer in	-	(7,259)	(7,259)
Transfer out	-	9,441	9,441
Net assets, beginning of year	451	122,857	123,308
Net assets, end of year	<u>\$ 339</u>	<u>\$ 130,296</u>	<u>\$ 130,635</u>

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the financial statements.

KENSINGTON POLICE PROTECTION AND COMMUNITY SERVICES DISTRICT STATEMENT OF REVENUE, EXPENDITURES AND CHANGE IN FUND BALANCES BUDGET AND ACTUAL FOR THE YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2011

	General Fund Budget		General Fund Actual		Variance with Final Budget Positive (Negative)	
Revenues:						
Property Taxes	\$	1,234,000	\$	1,268,455	\$	34,455
Special Assessments		680,130		679,980		(150)
Measure G Supplement Tax Rev.		405,720		405,721		1
Grant Revenue		102,000		100,000		(2,000)
Investment Income		7,100		5,575		(1,525)
Rents and Fees		20,000		20,723		723
Charges for Services		2,000		1,430		(570)
Post Reimbursement		-		4,213		4,213
Franchise Fees		21,000		20,011		(989)
Other Tax Income		30,000		31,118		1,118
Supplemental Workers' Comp Reimb.		-		41,441		41,441
Other Income		13,000		21,739		8,739
Donations		500				(500)
Gain on asset sale				10,248		10,248
Total Revenues	*** == *	2,515,450		2,610,654		95,204
Expenses:						
Police Salary and Benefits		1,827,005		1,819,643		7,362
Police Other Expenses		281,636		247,895		33,741
Recreation Salary and Benefits		30,997		28,333		2,664
Recreation Expenses		73,856		65,492		8,364
District Expenses		217,684		312,805		(95,121)
Capital Outlay		180,000	<u>. </u>	48,384	<u> </u>	131,616
Total Expenditures		2,611,178		2,522,552		88,626
Excess of Revenues over (under) Expenditures		(95,728)	\$	88,102	\$	183,830

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the financial statements.

NOTE 1- SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

This summary of significant accounting policies of Kensington Police Protection and Community Services District (the "District") is presented to assist in understanding the District's financial statements. These accounting policies conform to generally accepted accounting principles and have been consistently applied in the preparation of the financial statements.

Organization and description of funds

The District was formed to provide police protection services and parks and recreation services. The accounts of the District are organized on the basis of funds, each of which is considered to be a separate accounting entity. The operations of each fund are accounted for with a separate set of self-balancing accounts that comprise the fund's assets, liabilities, fund equity, revenues and expenditures or expenses, as appropriate. Governmental resources are allocated to and accounted for in individual funds based upon the purposes for which they are to be spent and the means by which spending activities are controlled. The District also maintains two fiduciary funds: (1) Emergency Preparedness Fund (used for expenses in conjunction with the emergency radio network) and (2) Kensington Park Reassessment District 2004-1 Fund (used to account for special assessment funds, see Note 4).

Government-wide Financial Statements

The District's Government-wide Financial Statements include a Statement of Net Assets and a Statement of Activities and Changes in Net Assets. These statements present summaries of Governmental Activities for the District accompanied by a total column.

These statements are presented on an economic resources measurement focus and the *accrual basis* of accounting. Accordingly, all of the District's assets and liabilities, including capital assets and long-term liabilities, are included in the accompanying Statement of Net Assets. The Statement of Activities presents changes in net assets. Under the accrual basis of accounting, revenues are recognized in the period in which they are earned while expenses are recognized in the period in which the liability is incurred.

The Government-wide Statement of Activities presents a comparison between expenses, both direct and indirect, and program revenues for each governmental program. Direct expenses are those that are specifically associated with a service, program or department and are therefore clearly identifiable to a particular function. Program revenues include charges paid by the recipients of the goods or services offered by the program and grants and contributions that are restricted to meeting the operational or capital requirements of a particular program. Revenues and expenditures identifies the extent to which each program is self-financing or draws from the general revenues of the District.

Net assets should be reported as restricted when constraints placed on net asset use are either externally imposed by creditors, grantors, contributors, or laws or regulations of other governments or imposed by law through constitutional provisions or enabling legislation. The net assets restricted for other purposes result from special revenue funds and the restrictions on their net asset use.

NOTE 1— SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (Continued)

Separate financial statements are provided for governmental funds. Fund financial statements report detailed information about the District. The focus of governmental fund financial statements is on major funds rather than reporting funds by type. Major individual governmental funds are reported as separate columns in the governmental fund financial statements. No major funds are aggregated and presented in a single column. The District had no major funds in the fiscal year ended June 30, 2011.

Governmental Fund Financial Statements

Governmental Fund Financial Statements include a Balance Sheet and a Statement of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balances for all major governmental funds and non-major funds aggregated. Accompanying schedules are presented to reconcile and explain the differences in net assets as presented in these statements to the net assets presented in the Government-wide Financial Statements.

All governmental funds are accounted for on a spending or current financial resources measurement focus and the *modified accrual basis* of accounting. Accordingly, only current assets and current liabilities are included on the Balance Sheet. The Statement of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balances presents increases (revenues and other financing sources) and decreases (expenditures and other financing uses) in net current assets. Under the modified basis of accounting, revenues are recognized in the accounting period in which they become both measurable and available to finance expenditures of the current period. Accordingly, revenues are recognized when received in cash, except that revenues subject to accrual (generally 60 days after year-end) are recognized when due. The primary revenue sources, which have been treated as susceptible to accrual by the District, are intergovernmental revenues, investment earnings and charges for services. Expenditures are generally recognized when incurred under the modified accrual basis of accounting.

The District has only two funds in fiscal year 2011, the General Fund and Capital Project Fund.

General Fund—the General Fund is the operating fund of the District. It is used to account for all financial resources except those required to be accounted for in another fund.

Debt Service Fund—The Debt Service Fund is used to account for financial resources to be used or the retirement of debt. The Kensington Park Reassessment Bond 2004-1 issued in June 2004 in defeasance of the 1994 special assessment bonds has been determined to be an Agency Fund since the District is not obligated to repay the debt. The balance in the debt service fund has therefore been transferred to the Kensington Park Reassessment District 2004-1 Fund (see Note 4) and is not part of fiscal year 2011 presentation.

Capital Projects Fund—The Capital Projects Fund is used to account for financial resources to be used for the acquisition or construction of major capital facilities.

NOTE 1— SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (Continued)

Budgets and budgetary accounting

The District follows these procedures in establishing the budgetary data reflected in the basic financial statements:

- 1. During the month of May, the General Manager/ Chief of Police submits to the Board of Directors a preliminary operating budget. During the month of June the General Manager/Chief of Police submits to the Board of Directors a proposed operating budget for the fiscal year commencing the following July 1. The operating budget includes proposed expenditures and the means of financing them.
- 2. The budget is enacted through a motion to adopt the Budget.
- 3. Formal budgetary integration is employed as a management control device during the fiscal year for the General Fund and Capital Projects Fund.
- 4. Budgets for the General Fund and the Capital Projects Fund are adopted on a basis consistent with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States (US GAAP).

Encumbrances

Encumbrance accounting, under which purchase orders, contracts, and other commitments for the expenditure of monies are recorded in order to reserve that portion of the applicable appropriation, is employed as an extension of formal budgetary integration in the General Fund and the Capital Projects Fund. All appropriations lapse at fiscal year end.

Cash and investments

Cash includes amounts in demand deposits as well as short-term investments. Investments are carried at market value. All investment is invested through County of Contra Costa.

Compensated absences

District employees are entitled to certain compensated absences based on their length of employment. Payable for compensated absences is \$88,360 at June 30, 2011.

Fund equity reservations and designations

Reservations and designations of the ending fund balance indicate portions of fund balance not appropriable for expenditures or amounts legally segregated for a specific future use.

NOTE 1— SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (Continued)

Statement calculations and use of estimates

Due to rounding, column and row calculations may approximate actual figures. Approximations may result when decimal places are eliminated to present whole numbers.

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the dates of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenditures during the reporting periods. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

NOTE 2— CASH AND INVESTMENTS

The District maintains most of its cash in the County of Contra Costa treasury. Balances are stated at cost, which is approximately market value.

The District maintains a cash and an investment account that are available for use by all funds. Investments made by the District are summarized below. The investments that are represented by specific identifiable investment securities are classified below according to credit risk:

Category 1—Investments that are insured or registered, or for which securities are held by the District or its agent in the District's name.

Category 2—Uninsured and unregistered investments for which the securities are held by the counterparty's trust department or agent in the District's name.

Category 3—Uninsured and unregistered investments for which the securities are held by the counterparty, or by its trust department or agent but not in the District's name.

NOTE 2— CASH AND INVESTMENTS (Continued)

A summary of the District's cash and investments at June 30, 2011 is as follows:

	Carrying Value	Market Value
Governmental Funds (District Fund)		
Category 1:		
Cash in Checking Accounts	\$ 2,825	\$ 2,825
Petty Cash	252	252
Uncategorized:		
County Treasury	1,705,936	1,705,936
Total Governmental Fund (District Fund)	1,709,013	1,709,013
<u>Fiduciary Funds</u>		
Category 1:		
Cash in Checking Accounts	678	678
Uncategorized:		
County Treasury	265,276	265,276
Total Fiduciary Fund	265,954	265,954
Total Governmental & Fiduciary Fund	\$ 1,974,967	\$ 1,974,967
The disposition of cash and investments by fund is as follows:		
General Fund	\$ 1,5 8 2,473	
Capital Project Fund	126,540	

Emergency Preparedness Fund

2004-1 Park Reassessment Bond Fund	\$ 265,276

The District's cash fund in the County Treasury is not categorized by risk category because the District's share is not evidenced by specifically identifiable securities.

\$ 1,709,013

678

\$

NOTE 2— CASH AND INVESTMENTS (Continued)

Deposits

The California Government Code requires California banks and savings and loan associations to secure a local governmental agency's deposits by pledging government securities as collateral. The market value of pledged securities must equal at least 100% of the agency's deposits. California law also allows financial institutions to secure an agency's deposits by pledging first trust deed mortgage notes having a value of 150% of an agency's total deposits. The agency may waive collateral requirements for deposits, which are fully insured up to \$250,000 by federal deposit insurance.

NOTE 3-CAPITAL ASSETS

The District's capital assets are valued at historical cost or estimated historical cost if actual historical cost is not available. Donated capital assets are valued at their estimated fair market value on the date donated. Capital assets are recorded at cost and depreciated over their estimated useful lives. Depreciation is charged to governmental activities by function.

Depreciation of capital assets is charged as an expense against operations each year and the total amount of depreciation taken over the years, accumulated depreciation, is reported on the Statement of Net Assets as a reduction in the book value of capital assets.

Depreciation of capital assets in service is provided using the straight-line method, which means the cost of the asset is divided by its expected useful life in years, and the result is charged to expense each year until the asset is fully depreciated. The District has assigned the useful lives listed below to capital assets:

Vehicles	5 years
Furniture and fixtures	5 years
Building and improvements	20-40 years
Machinery and equipment	5-10 years

NOTE 3— CAPITAL ASSETS (continued)

A summary of changes in general fixed assets follows:

	Balance 6/30/10	Additions	Retirements	Balance 6/30/11
Non-Depreciable Assets				······································
Land	\$ 2,808,347	\$-	\$-	\$ 2,808,347
Depreciable Assets				
Building & Improvements	1,479,734	12,983	-	1,492,717
Vehicles & Equipment	509,865	33,883	54,365	489,383
Furniture & Fixtures	28,396	1,518	-	29,914
Total Depreciable Asset:	4,826,342	48,384	54,365	4,820,361
Accumulated Depreciation				
Building & Improvements	374,460	42,642	-	417,102
Vehicles & Equipment	486,430	33,108	54,365	465,173
Furniture/Fixtures/Improvements	28,397	25	-	28,422
Total Accumulated Depreciation:	889,287	75,775	54,365	910,697
Capital Assets Net of Depreciation	\$ 3,937,055	\$ (27,391)		\$ 3,909,664

The current depreciation expense of \$75,775 was charged to Statement of Activities as depreciation expense adjustment.

NOTE 4--- SPECIAL ASSESSMENT DEBT

Kensington Park Reassessment District 2004-1 Refunding Bonds

2004	Limited	Obligation	Ju	ne 30, 2010	Borro	wings_	Payments	Jı	ine 30, 2011
	nent Bonds	Obligation	\$	1,416,992	\$		\$ 105,422	\$	1,311,570
			\$	1,416,992		-	\$ 105,422	\$	1,311,570

NOTE 4— SPECIAL ASSESSMENT DEBT (continued)

The original 1994 Limited Obligation Improvement Bonds were issued pursuant to the provisions of the Improvement Bond Act of 1915 to finance costs of acquisition of land to be used as a park and installation of certain recreational improvements. The bonds are limited obligations of the District and are equally and ratably secured by unpaid assessments on certain parcels of property located within the Kensington Park Assessment district. The Kensington Park Assessment District was created by the District pursuant to the Landscaping and Lighting Act of 1972 specifically to finance the park landscaping and lighting project. The unpaid assessments represent fixed liens on each assessed parcel. Annual installments of the unpaid assessments together with interest thereon, sufficient to meet the scheduled debt service, are included in the tax bills for the assessed properties and the receipts are deposited into a redemption fund used to pay interest and principal on the bonds as they come due. The District is in no way liable for the repayment of the improvement bonds. The District is only acting as an agent for the property owners in collecting the assessments, forwarding the collections to bondholders, and initiating foreclosure proceedings.

On June 17, 2004, the District issued \$1,868,600 of 2004 Limited Obligation Improvement Bonds for the purpose of refunding the \$2,050,000 of outstanding 1994 Limited Obligation Improvement Bonds. The refunding took advantage of lower interest rates which were available and resulted in reductions in debt service requirements over the life of the new debt. The net proceeds of \$1,868,600 from these bonds were transferred to a trustee and placed in an irrevocable trust to redeem the 1994 Limited Obligation Improvement Bonds. These funds were invested in U.S. government securities to provide for the redemption price and interest through the call date. The 2004 bond bears annual interest at a fixed rate of 4.25%. The bond was issued as a fully registered note in a single denomination of \$1,868,600. Interest on the bond becomes payable commencing March 2, 2005, and semi-annually thereafter on each September 2 and March 2 until maturity. The bond maturity date is September 2, 2020.

The following funds have been created: (1) Reserve Fund, established in the initial amount of \$93,430 from the bond proceeds; (2) Redemption Fund, established to collect all payments of principal and interest installments on the assessments; (3) cost of issuance fund, established to pay issuance costs and (4) administrative expense fund, established to reimburse payment of administrative expenses. The District's liability to advance funds to the Redemption Fund in the event of delinquent installments shall not exceed the balance in the Reserve Fund.

NOTE 4— SPECIAL ASSESSMENT DEBT (continued)

As of June 30, 2011, future debt service payments were as follows:

Fiscal year ended June 30,	Principal	Interest	Total	
2012	\$ 108,987	\$ 53,459	\$ 1 62,446	
2013	112,176	48,759	160,935	
2014	120,367	43,818	164,185	
2015	123,164	38,643	1 61,807	
2016	125,861	33,350	159,211	
Thereafter	721,015	78,549	799,564	
	\$ 1,311,570	\$ 296,578	\$ 1,608,148	

Current Portion of Principal: \$108,987

Current Portion of Interest: \$53,459

NOTE 5— DEFINED BENEFIT PENSION PLAN

PERS plan description

The District has a defined benefit pension plan (the "Plan") which provides retirement and disability benefits, annual cost-of-living adjustments, and death benefits to plan members and beneficiaries. The Plan is part of the Public District portion of the California Public Employees Retirement System (CalPERS), an agent multiple-employer plan administered by CalPERS, which acts as a common investment and administrative agent for participating public employers within the state of California. A menu of benefit provisions as well as other requirements is established by State statues within the Public Employees' Retirement Law. The District selects optional benefit provisions from the benefit menu by contract with CalPERS and adopts those benefits through board action. CalPERS issues a separate comprehensive annual financial report. Copies of the report may be obtained from the CalPERS Executive Office at 400 P Street, Sacramento, CA 95814.

Funding policy

Active plan members in the Plan are required to contribute 9% of their annual covered salary. The District "picks up" the tax deferred contributions required of District employees on their behalf and for their accounts. The District is required to contribute the actuarially determined amounts necessary to fund the benefits for its members. The actuarial methods and assumptions used are those adopted by the CaIPERS Board of Administration. An employer contribution of 27.92% was required for fiscal 2011. The contribution requirements for the plan members are established by State statute. The employer contribution rate is established and may be amended by CaIPERS. For 2011/2012, the required employer contribution rate is 33.80%.

NOTE 5— DEFINED BENEFIT PENSION PLAN (continued)

Annual pension cost

For the fiscal year ended June 30, 2011, the total contributions amounted to \$338,537. Of this amount, \$256,021 was contributed by the District on behalf of the plan members. The District's required contribution for fiscal year ended June 30, 2011 of \$243,373 was determined as part of the July 1, 2008 (revised May 2010) actuarial valuation using the entry age normal actuarial cost method with the contributions determined as a percent of pay. The actuarial assumptions included: a) an 7.75% investment rate of return (net of administrative expenditures); and b) projected salary increases of 3.25% to 14.45%. Both a) and b) include an inflation component of 3% and anticipated payroll growth of 3.25%. The actuarial value of the plan's assets were determined using a technique that smoothes the effect of short-term volatility in the market value of investments over a rolling period, depending on the size of investment gains and/or losses.

Three-year trend information for the Plan is as follows:

Fiscal Year Ending	Annual Pension Cost (APC)	% of APC Contributed	No Pens Oblig (Ass	sion ation
2009	226,257	100%	\$	-
2010	243,261	100%		-
2011	244,706	100%		-

NOTE 6— LEASE COMMITMENT

In December 2009, the District entered into agreement as a lessee to occupy office space from Kensington Fire Protection District for a five-year period through June 30, 2014. Rent increase is run accordance to consumer index change from minimum of 3% to maximum of 6%. Rent expense for fiscal years ended June 30, 2011 and 2010 were \$28,840 and \$28,000, respectively.

The minimum future lease commitments (with 3% increased each year) are as follows:

Year Ended	Amount		
June 30, 2012	\$ 29,705		
June 30, 2013	30,596		
June 30, 2014	31,513		
Total	\$ 91,814	_	

NOTE 7— FUND EQUITY RESERVES AND DESIGNATIONS

Fund balance consists of reserved and unreserved amounts. Reserved fund balance represents that portion of a fund balance which has been appropriated for expenditure or is legally segregated for a specific future use. The remaining portion is unreserved and is classified as designated or undesignated. Fund balance reserves and designations at June 30, 2011 are as follows:

<u>Fund balances</u> Reserved	Ge	eneral	Capital Projects		Total	
Compensated Absences	\$	88,360	\$	-	\$	88,360
Total Reserved		88,360	······	-		88,360
Unreserved and Undesignated	1	,500,565]	26,659		1,627,224
Total Unreserved and Undesignated	1	,500,565	1	26,659		1,627,224
Total Fund Balance	\$ 1	,588,925	<u> </u>	26,659	.\$	1,715,584

NOTE 8--- INSURANCE POOLS

Special District Risk Management District

The District is a member of the Special District Risk Management District ("SDRMA"). SDRMA was organized to provide certain levels of liability insurance coverage, property insurance coverage, claims management, risk management services and legal defense to its participating members. The financial results of SDRMA are not included in the accompanying basic financial statements because the District does not have oversight responsibility.

SDRMA provides the District with property and general liability coverage to the limits as set forth in the agreement. The annual member contribution was \$28,956 for fiscal 2011 coverage. Members are subject to dividends and/or assessments in accordance with the provisions of the Joint Powers agreement. At June 30, 2011, SDRMA could not confirm the status of any incurred but not reported ("IBNR") claims.

The Special Districts Workers Compensation District ("SDWCD") was formed by an agreement between certain public agencies to provide workers' compensation coverage. SDWCD is governed by a Board of Directors, which is comprised of officials appointed by member agencies.

NOTE 8— INSURANCE POOLS (continued)

The District currently reports all of its risk management activities in its General Fund. Claim expenditures and liabilities are reported when it is probable that a loss has occurred and the amount of loss can be reasonably estimated.

The District maintains a workers' compensation fund, which is self-insured for the first \$100,000 of loss per accident. Excess coverage is purchased from an outside insurance carrier up to statutory limits.

NOTE 9— EXPLANATION OF DIFFERENCES BETWEEN THE GOVERNMENTAL FUND BALANCE SHEET AND THE STATEMENT OF NET ASSETS

Total fund balance of the District's governmental funds differs from the net assets of governmental activities reported in the statement of net assets primarily as a result of the long-term economic focus of the statement of net assets versus the current financial resources focus of the governmental fund balance sheet. When capital assets (land, building, and equipment) that are used in governmental activities are purchased or constructed, the costs of those assets are reported as capital outlay expenditures in the governmental fund. However, the statement of net assets includes the capital assets, net of accumulated depreciation, among the assets of the District.

Differences	Balance June 30, 2011			
Cost of capital assets Less: Accumulated depreciation	\$ 4,820,361 910,697			
Net capital assets	3,909,664			
Net difference	\$ 3,909,664			

NOTE 10— EXPLANATION OF DIFFERENCES BETWEEN THE GOVERNMENTAL FUND OPERATING STATEMENT AND THE STATEMENT OF ACTIVITIES

The net change in fund balance for the governmental funds differs from the "change in net assets" as a result of the longterm economic focus of the statement of activities versus the current financial resources focus of the general fund. When capital assets that are to be used in governmental activities are purchased or constructed, the resources expended for those assets are reported as capital outlay expenditures in the general fund. Also, when capital assets are sold, the resources received are reported as proceeds from sale of capital assets in the respective fund. However, in the statement of activities, the cost of those assets purchased or constructed is allocated over their estimated useful lives and reported as depreciation expense. The resources received from the sale of capital assets offset against the net carrying value of the assets sold and reported as a gain or loss in the statement of activities. As a result, the fund balance decreased by the amount of financial resources expended and increased by the amount of financial resources received, whereas net assets decreased by the amount of depreciation expense on equipment items during the year and increased (decreased) by the amount of net gain (loss) on disposal of capital assets.

Differences	Balance June 30, 2011			
Capital Outlay	\$	(48,384)		
Add: Bad Debt				
Add: Depreciation Expense		75,775		
Net difference	\$	27,391		

NOTE 11--- POSTRETIREMENT HEALTH BENEFITS

Governmental Accounting Standards Board (GASB) standard 45 directs how local governments account for and report other post-employment benefits (OPEB) that are separate from pension benefits. The District has calculated the medical benefit plan OPEB requirements and described the methodology and amounts from a third party consultant's report. These calculations cover the OPEB of all District eligible employees.

The District provides postretirement health benefits (medical, dental, and vision) to the all eligible employees who have retired from the District and to their spouses, surviving spouses and dependent children. During fiscal year 2010, the district adopted GASB 45. This is the first year the District implements this GASB requirement.

NOTE 11— POSTRETIREMENT HEALTH BENEFITS (continue)

Contributions Required and Contributions Made

The plan's funding policy provides guidelines for District contributions at actuarially determined required amounts sufficient to accumulate the necessary assets to pay benefits when due as specified. The retirees' and spouses, surviving spouses and dependent children's healthcare benefits plan requires the District to use the Baseline Cost Method. The actuarial projected the plan population to estimate the cost of future benefits. The actuarial accrued total OPEB liability comes to \$2,359,424 on the valuation date of July 1, 2008 (revised May 2010).

Significant assumptions used to compute contribution requirements from the latest unaudited actuarial are as follows:

Valuation date	July 1, 2008 (revised May 2010)
Actuarial cost method	Entry Age Normal Cost Method
Discount rate used in valuation	7.75%
Actuarial assumptions:	Baseline cost of healthcare cost from CalPERS medical, Delta Dental and VSP premium rate

The annual medical premium trend rate assumption beginning:

January 1, 2010	8.2%
January 1, 2011	7.9%

As of June 30, 2011, required trust fund account has not been set up and is pending for board approval.

Schedule of Employer Annual Required Contributions

The schedule of employer contributions is shown below:

		nnual quired
Fiscal year ended June 30	Contribution	
2012	\$	252,766
2013		262,556

NOTE 11-POSTRETIREMENT HEALTH BENEFITS (Continued)

	As of July 1, 2008 (revised May 2010)		
Actuarial accrued liability (AAL)	\$	2,506,296	
Actuarial value of assets		243,261	
Unfunded actuarial accrued liability	\$	2,263,035	
Remanding amortization period		28 years	
Amortization factor based on 7.75% discount rate and 5.5% annual increase in salary			
Annual level amortization of unfunded AAL	\$	146,077	
Normal cost (based on Entry Age Normal Cost Method)		97,296	
Annual required contribution (ARC)	\$	243,373	
	2	010/2011	
Annual required contribution	\$	243,373	
Interest on net OPEB obligation		7,981	
Adjustment to annual required contribution		(6,648)	
Annual OPEB cost (expense)		244,706	
Contribution made		507,809	
Increase (decrease) in net OPEB obligation		(263,103)	
Net OPEB obligation, beginning of year		116,649	
Net OPEB obligation, end of year	\$	(146,454)	

As of June 30, 2011, total Pre-funded post-employment benefit (Net OPEB Assets) for 2011 is \$136,208. The remaining \$10,246 is in Prepaid account.

NOTE 12 - COMPENSATED ABSENCES

Amounts due to employees for compensated absences as of June 30, 2011 were as follows:

Vacation	\$ 88,360
Total compensated absences	\$ 88,360

NOTE 13 - RECENT GOVERNMENTAL ACCOUNTING STANDARDS

The Governmental Accounting Standards Board ("GASB") has released Statement No.54.

Statement No. 54, "Fund Balance Reporting and Governmental Fund Type Definitions" was issued in February of 2009. This statement changes the presentation of the fund balance section of the balance sheet. This change will be implemented by the Commission on the financial statements for the year ending June 30, 2011. The Statement No. 54 presentation will help to clarify the fund balance amounts of the governmental funds and will aid readers of the financial statements to better understand the different levels of constraints placed on fund balance.

NOTE 14- SUBSEQUENT EVENS

At the time of the audit, KPPCSD has evaluated all subsequent events through March 15, 2013, the date the financial statements were available to be issued, and determined that there is no material impact from the subsequent events.

LAMORENA & CHANG certified public accountant

> 22 BATTERY STREET, SUITE 412 San Francisco, California 94111

Telephone: 415.781.8441 Facsimile: 415.781.8442

Independent Auditor's Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial Statements Performed in Accordance with *Government Auditing Standards*

Board of Directors Kensington Police Protection and Community Services District Kensington, California

I have audited the basic financial statements of the Kensington Police Protection and Community Services District (District), as of and for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2011, and have issued my report thereon dated March 15, 2013. I conducted my audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States.

Internal Control over Financial Reporting

In planning and performing my audit, I considered the District's internal control over financial reporting as a basis for designing my auditing procedures for the purpose of expressing my opinion on the financial statements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the District's internal control over financial reporting. Accordingly, I do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the District's internal control over financial reporting.

A deficiency in internal control exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent or detect misstatements on a timely basis. A material weakness is a deficiency, or combination of deficiencies, in internal control such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the entity's financial statements will not be prevented, or detected and corrected on a timely basis.

My consideration of internal control over financial reporting was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and would not necessarily identify all deficiencies in internal control that might be significant deficiencies or material weaknesses. I did not identify any deficiencies in internal control over financial reporting that I consider to be material weaknesses, as defined above.

Compliance and Other Matters

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether the District's financial statements are free of material misstatements, I performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grants agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of my audit, and accordingly, I do not express such an opinion. The results or my tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance or other matters that are required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards*.

This report is intended solely for the information and use of management and others within the District. This is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties.

March 15, 2013

KENSINGTON POLICE PROTECTION AND COMMUNITY SERVICES DISTRICT STATUS OF PRIOR YEAR FINDINGS YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2011

Explanation if not fully Findings implemented Present Status Implemented N/A

2009-1

į

KENSINGTON POLICE PROTECTION AND COMMUNITY SERVICES DISTRICT CURRENT YEAR FINDINGS YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2011

	Explanation if not fully
Present Status	implemented
	Present Status

None

.

N/A

N/A